



## **District Committee on Budget & Finance**

**January 19, 2021**

**Zoom, 1:30 – 3:30 p.m.**

**Attendees:** Bernata Slater, Eloisa Briones, Mary Chries Concha Thia, Sofia Fernandez Giorgi, Judy Hutchinson, Nick Kapp, Steven Lehigh, Vincent Li, Graciano Mendoza, Micaela Ochoa, and Ludmila Prisecar

**Absent:** Tony Burolla, Anthony Frangos, Sam Haun, Martin Partlan, and Minn Thurei Naung

**Guests:** Peter Fitzsimmons

**Called to order at 1:42 p.m.**

### **1. Introductions**

No formal introductions were made.

### **2. FY2021-22 Budget Calendar Review**

Slater reviewed the calendar, which was emailed to the committee members prior to the meeting. She noted that the calendar will be presented at the next Board meeting for approval.

### **3. FY 2020-21 CCFS-320 P1 (FTES Report)**

Slater reviewed the report with the committee, which was emailed to the committee members prior to the meeting. She also reminded the committee of the timelines associated with this report, which is required three times annually. The District does receive certain revenues on a per FTES basis (e.g., lottery, EPA, etc.)

### **4. Economic Update (from CCFC Conference)**

Fitzsimmons reviewed the presentation with the committee, which was emailed to the committee members prior to the meeting. Ochoa stated that the top 1% earners have actually made money during the pandemic. Slater stated that the District is offering as much resource as possible (e.g., food distribution, disaster relief payments to students, etc.) and spoke to the impact to the District to mitigate expenses associated with addressing the pandemic.

### **5. Governor's FY 2021-22 State Budget Proposal**

Slater reviewed the budget proposal with the committee referencing the Joint Analysis provided by the State Chancellor's Office, which was emailed to the committee members prior to the meeting. Overall the FY 2021-22 proposal is more favorable to community colleges than FY 2020-21; however, much of the proposal is one-time funding. Slater noted that the State's budget legislative timeline has been accelerated as compared to prior year cycles (i.e. the legislative hearing will begin sooner than prior years). Slater provided a synopsis of the next steps at the State level leading to adoption of the State Budget. The District is in the process of incorporating the proposals into its Resource

Allocation Model and its FY 2021-22 Tentative Budget. There were a few questions posted in the chat to which Slater responded (e.g., impact to AB19 funding, dual enrollment funding, funding for the online college, impact to the District's FY 2021-22 budget, impact on categorical programs).

#### **6. FY 2019-20 Budget vs. Actuals (Fund 1)**

Fitzsimmons explained the report and Slater reviewed the data with the committee, which was emailed to the committee members prior to the meeting. Lehigh inquired as to the adopted / revised revenue budgets potential impact to a corresponding adjustment to expenses to which Slater stated that there would necessarily be an impact. Lehigh inquired as to the adopted budget in relation to the site allocations to which Fitzsimmons explained that the two are not aligned because the adopted budget includes the site allocations and carryovers. Lehigh asked for total surplus, which can be found on Page 17 of the Adopted Budget. Ochoa asked about the process to distribute office hour budgets and Prisecar asked if this could be budgeted at the college level. Fitzsimmons explained the process to Ochoa and Slater stated in the affirmative to Prisecar, while Fitzsimmons explained the potential trade-off (i.e., colleges would have to manage within their office hour budget vs. being made exactly whole from Central Services). Lehigh asked for the balances in Fund 40001 to which Fitzsimmons stated that will be brought forward on a future agenda. Lehigh also asked if we could "overlay" the FY 2019-20 Actuals against the FY 2021-22 Resource Allocation Model to which Fitzsimmons responded that it is possible; however, would be extremely difficult given the carryovers and that the Resource Allocation Model isn't driven by major object code like the FY 19-20 Actuals are illustrated.

#### **7. Next Meeting: February 16, 2021**

**Meeting adjourned at 3:36 p.m.**